arXiv:1611.07242v1 [math.ST] 22 Nov 2016

Laplace copulas of multifactor gamma distributions are new generalized Farlie-Gumbel-Morgenstern copulas

Philippe Bernardoff

Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour

Laboratoire de Mathématiques et de leurs Applications, UMR 5142

avenue de l'Université

64000 Pau, France.

e.mail : philippe.bernardoff@univ-pau.fr

November 10, 2016

Abstract

This paper provides bifactor gamma distribution, trivariate gamma distribution and two copula families on $[0,1]^n$ obtained from the Laplace transforms of the multivariate gamma distribution and the multi-factor gamma distribution given by $[P(\boldsymbol{\theta})]^{-\lambda}$ and $[P(\boldsymbol{\theta})]^{-\lambda}\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1+p_i\theta_i)^{-(\lambda_i-\lambda)}$ respectively, where P is an affine polynomial with respect to the n variables $\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n$.

These copulas are new generalized Farlie-Gumbel-Morgenstern copulas and allow in particular to obtain multivariate gamma distributions for which the cumulative distribution functions and the probability distribution functions are known.

KEY WORDS: Archimedean copula, cumulative distribution function, copula, exponential families, infinitely divisible distribution, generalized Farlie-Gumbel-Morgenstern copulas, generalized hypergeometric function, generalized Lauricella functions, Horn function, Kendall's tau, Laplace copula, Laplace transform, multi-factor gamma distribution, multivariate gamma distribution, Spearmann's rho.

1 Introduction

This paper is motivated by the opportunity to produce explicit cumulative distribution functions (cdf) and probability distribution functions (pdf) of multivariate gamma distributions and multi-factor gamma distributions. In this way, we present two new classes of multivariate copulas generalizing the Farlie-Gumbel-Morgenstern Copulas. From Sklar (Sklar, 1959) who states that the cdf F of a random vector $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ with continuous marginal cdfs can be uniquely written in the form

$$F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = C[F_1(x_1), \ldots, F_n(x_n)], \ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{1}$$

where n is the dimension of the random vector $\mathbf{X}$, where $C : [0,1]^n \to [0,1]$ is a *copula* and where $F_1, \ldots, F_n$ are the marginal cdfs of $\mathbf{X}$.

If f is the pdf of the random vector $\mathbf{X}$ and $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ the marginal pdfs of $\mathbf{X}$, then

$$c(u_1, \ldots, u_n) = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial u_1 \ldots \partial u_n} C(u_1, \ldots, u_n),$$

and we have the following equality

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = c[F_1(x_1), \ldots, F_n(x_n)] f_1(x_1) \ldots f_n(x_n). \tag{2}$$

From Equality (1) it is possible to express the cdf F of $\mathbf{X}$. This expression cannot simply explicitly give the copula C for the *multivariate gamma distribution associated with* (P, λ) and the *multi-factor gamma distribution associated with* (P, Λ). But with Joe (Joe, 1997), we can give copulas deduced of the Laplace transform of the *multivariate gamma distribution associated with* (P, λ) and the Laplace transform of the *multi-factor gamma distribution associated with* (P, Λ). By applying the formulas (1) and (2) we can give a new *multivariate gamma distribution associated with* (P, λ) and a new *multi-factor gamma distribution associated with* (P, Λ) for which we have an explicit formula for its cdf and its pdf.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives definitions of multivariate gamma distributions and multi-factor gamma distributions for which Laplace transform is given, and considers the bidimentional and tridimentional case. Section 3 defines the Laplace copula. Section 4 states the two main results. Section 5 applies the main cases to bidimensional and tridimensional cases. In particular, the Joe's family BB10 is generalized. For ease of the fluent exposition of the paper, proofs are collected in the Appendix.

2 Multivariate gamma distributions and multi-factor gamma distributions

In the literature, the multivariate gamma distributions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ have several non-equivalent definitions. Many authors require only that the marginal distributions are ordinary gamma distributions (Balakrishnan *et al.*, 1997). In the present paper, we use the extension of the classical one-dimensional definition to $\mathbb{R}^n$ obtained as follows: we consider an affine polynomial $P(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ in $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)$ where 'affine' means that, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$, $\partial^2 P / \partial \theta_j^2 = 0$. We also assume that $P(\mathbf{0}) = 1$. For instance, for $n = 2$, we have $P(\theta_1, \theta_2) = 1 + p_1\theta_1 + p_2\theta_2 + p_{\{1,2\}}\theta_1\theta_2$.

We denote by $\mathfrak{P}_n = \mathfrak{P}([n])$ the family of all subsets of $[n]$ and $\mathfrak{P}_n^*$ the family of non-empty subsets of $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. For simplicity, if n is fixed and if there is no ambiguity, we denote these families by $\mathfrak{P}$ and $\mathfrak{P}^*$, respectively.

We denote by $\mathbb{N}$ the set of non-negative integers. If $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, then $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \ldots \alpha_n!$, $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \ldots + \alpha_n$, $a_\alpha = a_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n}$ and

$$\mathbf{z}^\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^{n} z_i^{\alpha_i} = z_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots z_n^{\alpha_n}.$$

For T in $\mathfrak{P}_n$, we simplify the above notation by writing $\mathbf{z}^T = \prod_{t\in T} z_t$ instead of $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{1}_T}$ where

$$\mathbf{1}_T = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \text{ with } \alpha_i = 1 \text{ if } i \in T \text{ and } \alpha_i = 0 \text{ if } i \notin T.$$

We also write $\mathbf{z}^{-T}$ for $\prod_{t\in T} 1/z_t$. For a mapping $a : \mathfrak{P} \to \mathbb{R}$, we shall use the notation $a : \mathfrak{P} \to \mathbb{R}$, $T \mapsto a_T$. In this notation, an affine polynomial with constant term equal to 1 is $P(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{T\in\mathfrak{P}} p_T \boldsymbol{\theta}^T$, with $p_\varnothing = 1$. For simplicity, if $T = \{t_1, \ldots, t_k\}$, we denote $a_{\{t_1,\ldots,t_k\}} = a_{t_1\ldots t_k}$. The indicator function of a set S is denoted by $\mathbb{1}_S$, that is, $\mathbb{1}_S(x) = 1$ for $x \in S$ and 0 for $x \notin S$.

We fix $\lambda > 0$. If a random vector $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with probability distribution (pd) $\mu_{\mathbf{X}}$ is such that its Laplace transform is

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\exp\left[-\left(\theta_1 X_1 + \cdots + \theta_n X_n\right)\right]\right\} = \left[P(\boldsymbol{\theta})\right]^{-\lambda}, \tag{3}$$

where $\mathbb{E}$ denotes the expectation, for a set of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ with non-empty interior, then we denote $\mu_{\mathbf{X}} = \gamma_{(P,\lambda)}$, and $\gamma_{(P,\lambda)}$ will be called the *multivariate gamma distribution associated with* (P, λ). These multivariate gamma distributions occur naturally in the classification of natural exponential families in $\mathbb{R}^n$ (Bar-Lev *et al.,* 1994).

The marginal distributions of the *multivariate gamma distribution associated with* (P, λ) are ordinary gamma distributions of parameters (p_i, λ) for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, with Laplace transform $(1 + p_i\theta_i)^{-\lambda}$, and pd $\gamma_{(p_i,\lambda)}(dx) = x^{\lambda-1} p_i^{-\lambda} / \Gamma(\lambda) \exp(-x/p_i)\, \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(x)\, dx$. We extend the first definition to the *multi-factor*

gamma distribution associated with (P,Λ) where $\Lambda=(\lambda,\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n)$ and $\lambda_i \geqslant \lambda > 0$ for all $i=1,\ldots,n$ by its Laplace transform

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\exp\left[-\left(\theta_1 X_1+\cdots+\theta_n X_n\right)\right]\right\}=\left[P\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}\right)\right]^{-\lambda}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+p_i\theta_i\right)^{-(\lambda_i-\lambda)}. \tag{4}$$

The marginal distributions of the *multi-factor gamma distribution associated with* (P,Λ) are ordinary gamma distributions of parameters (p_i,λ_i) for $i=1,\ldots,n$.

We state first a proposition, whose proof is obvious.

Proposition 1 *A random vector* $\mathbf{X}$ *with distribution* $\gamma_{P,\Lambda}$ *can be obtained in the following way: Let* $\mathbf{Y}$ *be a vector with distribution* $\gamma_{P,\lambda}$. *Let* $\mathbf{Z}=(Z_1,\ldots,Z_n)$ *be a random vector constituted of independent margins for which its pds are* $\gamma_{(p_i,\lambda_i)}$, *and such that* Z *and* Y *are independent. Then the vector* $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{Y}+\mathbf{Z}$ *has Laplace transform (4), and consequently is a multi-factor gamma distribution associated to* (P,Λ).

For the bidimensional case, Dussauchoy and Berland, (Dussauchoy and Berland, 1972) consider the random vector $\mathbf{X}=(X_1,X_2)$ with Laplace transform

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\mathbf{X}}\left(\theta_1,\theta_2\right) &= \left(1+p_1\theta_1\right)^{-\lambda_1}\left(1+p_2\theta_2\right)^{-\lambda_2}\left[1-\frac{r_{12}p_1p_2\theta_1}{\left(1+p_1\theta_1\right)\left(1+p_2\theta_2\right)}\right]^{-\lambda} \\ &= \left(1+p_1\theta_1\right)^{-(\lambda_1-\lambda)}\left(1+p_2\theta_2\right)^{-(\lambda_2-\lambda)}\left(1+p_1\theta_1+p_2\theta_2+p_{12}\theta_1\right)^{-\lambda}, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $r_{12}=1-p_{12}/\left(p_1p_2\right)>0$ and $p_1,p_2,p_{12}>0$.

Unfortunately the Laplace transform of these pds is simple, but its pdfs and cdfs are unknown, except for the case $n=2$ for the *multivariate gamma distribution associated with* (P,λ). Let F_m^p be the generalized hypergeometric function (Slater, 1966) defined by

$$F_m^p\left(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_p;\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_m;z\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\left(\alpha_1\right)_k\cdots\left(\alpha_p\right)_k}{\left(\beta_1\right)_k\cdots\left(\beta_m\right)_k}\frac{z^k}{k!}, \tag{6}$$

where $\left(a\right)_k=\Gamma\left(a+k\right)/\Gamma\left(a\right)$ for $a>0$ and $k\in\mathbb{N}$, or more generally by $\left(a\right)_0=1$, $\left(a\right)_{n+1}=(a+n)(a)$, $\forall n\in\mathbb{N}$, $\forall a\in\mathbb{R}$, is the Pochhammer's symbol. For simplification, we denote F_m^0 by F_m. Bernardoff (Bernardoff, 2006) gives the

Proposition 2 *Let* $P\left(\theta_1,\theta_2\right)=1+p_1\theta_1+p_2\theta_2+p_{12}\theta_1\theta_2$ *be an affine polynomial where* $p_1,p_2>0$ *and* $p_{1,2}>0$. *Let* $\mu=\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(P,\lambda)}$ *be the gamma distribution associated to* (P,λ). *The measure* μ *exists if and only if* $c=\left(p_1p_2-p_{12}\right)/p_{12}^2=p_1p_2/p_{12}^2r_{12}>0$. *Then we have*

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(P,\lambda)}\left(\mathrm{d}x_1,\mathrm{d}x_2\right)=\frac{p_{12}^{-\lambda}}{\Gamma\left(\lambda\right)^2}\mathbf{e}^{-\frac{p_2}{p_{12}}x_1-\frac{p_1}{p_{12}}x_2}\left(x_1x_2\right)^{\lambda-1}F_1\left(\lambda;cx_1x_2\right)\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)^2}\left(\mathbf{x}\right)\mathrm{d}\left(\mathbf{x}\right). \tag{7}$$

For the case $\Lambda = (\lambda, \lambda, \lambda_2)$, the *multi-factor gamma distribution associated with* (P, Λ) is named by Chatelain *et al.* (Chatelain *et al.,* 2008) the *multisensor gamma distribution associated with* (P, λ, λ_2) and they have proved that its pd is given by the equality

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(P,\Lambda)}(\mathrm{d}x_1, \mathrm{d}x_2) = \frac{p_{12}^{-\lambda} p_2^{-(\lambda_2-\lambda)} 1}{\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(\lambda_2)} x_1^{\lambda-1} x_2^{\lambda_2-1} \mathbf{e}^{-\frac{p_2}{p_{12}}x_1 - \frac{p_1}{p_{12}}x_2} \Phi_3(\lambda_2 - \lambda; \lambda_2; c\frac{p_{12}}{p_2}x_2; cx_1x_2) \times \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)^2}(x_1, x_2)\, \mathrm{d}x_1\mathrm{d}x_2, \tag{8}$$

where

$$\Phi_3(a; b; x, y) = \sum_{m,n \geqslant 0} \frac{(a)_m}{(b)_{m+n}} \frac{x^m}{m!} \frac{y^n}{n!} \tag{9}$$

is the Horn function.

For the bidimensional general case, we have the following Theorem. Let F_I be the function defined by

$$F_I(a, b, c, \mathbf{z}) = \sum_{m_1,m_2,m_3=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m_1}(b)_{m_2}(c)_{m_3}}{(a+c)_{m_1+m_3}(b+c)_{m_2+m_3}} \frac{z_1^{m_1}}{m_1!} \frac{z_2^{m_2}}{m_2!} \frac{z_3^{m_3}}{m_3!}; \tag{10}$$

it is a particular generalized Lauricella function defined, by example, in (Panda, 1973).

Theorem 3 *The pd of* $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(P,(\lambda,\lambda_1,\lambda_2))}$ *is given by the equality*

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(P,(\lambda,\lambda_1,\lambda_2))}(\mathrm{d}x_1, \mathrm{d}x_2) = \frac{p_{12}^{-\lambda} p_1^{-(\lambda_1-\lambda)} p_2^{-(\lambda_2-\lambda)}}{\Gamma(\lambda_1)\Gamma(\lambda_2)} x_1^{\lambda_1-1} x_2^{\lambda_2-1} \mathbf{e}^{-\left(\frac{p_2}{p_{12}}x_1 + \frac{p_1}{p_{12}}x_2\right)} \times F_I\left(\lambda_1 - \lambda, \lambda_2 - \lambda, \lambda, \frac{p_{12}}{p_1}x_1, \frac{p_{12}}{p_2}x_2, cx_1x_2\right) \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)^2}(x_1, x_2)\, \mathrm{d}x_1\mathrm{d}x_2, \tag{11}$$

If we get $\lambda_1 = \lambda$ in the equality (11), we obtain Chatelain and Tourneret's result (8) because

$$F_I(0, \lambda_2 - \lambda, \lambda, z_1, z_2, z_3) = \sum_{m_2,m_3=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b)_{m_2}}{(b+c)_{m_2+m_3}} \frac{z_2^{m_2}}{m_2!} \frac{z_3^{m_3}}{m_3!} = \Phi_3(b; b+c; z_2, z_3).$$

Bernardoff (Bernardoff, 2006) gives the following Proposition:

Proposition 4 *Let* μ *be a multivariate gamma distribution on* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *associated to* (P, λ). *Assume that* μ *is not concentrated on a linear subspace of* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *of the form* $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n;\ x_k = 0\}$ *for some* k *in* $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. *Then:*

(i) *For all* $i \in [n]$, $p_i \neq 0$.

(ii) *If* $p_1, \ldots, p_k < 0$ *and* $p_{k+1}, \ldots, p_n > 0$, *then* $Supp(\mu) \subset (-\infty, 0]^k \times [0, \infty)^{n-k}$.

(iii) *If* $p_1, \ldots, p_n > 0$ *then* $p_{[n]} \geqslant 0$.

Bernardoff (Bernardoff, 2006) gives a necessary and sufficient condition for infinite divisibility of the *multivariate gamma distribution associated with* (P,λ), in the sense that the Laplace transform of $\gamma_{(P,\lambda)}$ power t for all positive t is still the Laplace transform of a positive measure, by the following theorem:

Theorem 5 *Let $\mu=\gamma_{P,\lambda}$ be a gamma distribution associated with (P,λ), where $\lambda>0$ and $P(\boldsymbol{\theta})=\sum_{T\in\mathfrak{P}_n}p_T\boldsymbol{\theta}^T$ is such that $p_i>0$, for all $i\in[n]$, and $p_{[n]}>0$. Let $\widetilde{P}(\boldsymbol{\theta})=\sum_{T\in\mathfrak{P}_n}\widetilde{p}_T\boldsymbol{\theta}^T$ be the affine polynomial such that $\widetilde{p}_T=-p_{\overline{T}}/p_{[n]}$ for all $T\in\mathfrak{P}_n$, where $\overline{T}=[n]\smallsetminus T$. Let*

$$\widetilde{b}_S=b_S(\widetilde{P})=\sum_{k=1}^{|S|}(k-1)!\sum_{\mathcal{T}\in\Pi_S^k}\prod_{T\in\mathcal{T}}\widetilde{p}_T,$$

where $|S|$ denotes the cardinality of the set S, and Π_S^k denotes the set of all partitions of S into k non-empty *subsets of S. Then the measure μ is infinitely divisible if and only if*

$$\widetilde{p}_i<0 \text{ for all } i\in[n], \tag{12}$$

and

$$\widetilde{b}_S\geqslant 0 \text{ for all } S\in\mathfrak{P}_n^* \text{ such that } |S|\geqslant 2. \tag{13}$$

Corollary 6 *By the properties of infinite divisible distributions we conclude that the necessary and sufficient conditions for infinite divisibility of a multivariate gamma distribution associated to (P,λ) of theorem (5), are also necessary and sufficient conditions for infinite divisibility of multivariate multi-factor gamma distribution associated to (P,Λ).*

To illustrate the difficulty to calculate the *multivariate gamma distribution associated with* (P,λ) we give, for the tridimensional case, the following theorem. Let F_{II} be the function defined by

$$F_{II}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4)=\sum_{m_1,\ldots,m_4=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(\lambda_1)_{m_1+m_2+m_3}(\lambda_2)_{2m_1+m_2+m_4}}\frac{z_1^{m_1}}{m_1!}\frac{z_2^{m_2}}{m_2!}\frac{z_3^{m_3}}{m_3!}\frac{z_4^{m_4}}{m_4!}; \tag{14}$$

it is still a particular generalized Lauricella function.

Theorem 7 *In the case $n=3$, $p_i>0$ for $i\in[3]$, $p_{ij}>0$ for $(i,j)\in[3]^2$, $\widetilde{b}_{ij}=-\frac{b_k}{p_{123}}+\frac{p_{jk}p_{ik}}{p_{123}^2}\geqslant 0$ for $i\neq j$ and $\{i,j,k\}=[3]$, $p_{123}>0$, and*

$$\widetilde{b}_{123}=-\frac{1}{p_{123}}+\frac{p_{12}p_1}{p_{123}^2}+\frac{p_{13}p_2}{p_{123}^2}+\frac{p_{23}p_1}{p_{123}^2}+2\frac{p_{12}p_{13}p_{23}}{p_{123}^3}\geqslant 0,$$

the infinitely divisible multivariate gamma distribution $\gamma_{(\lambda,P)}$ associated with (P,λ), is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{(\lambda,P)}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x})=&\frac{p_{123}^{-\lambda}}{[\Gamma(\lambda)]^3}\exp(\widetilde{p}_1x_1+\widetilde{p}_2x_2+\widetilde{p}_3x_3)(x_1x_2x_3)^{\lambda-1}\\&\times F_{II}(\lambda,\lambda,\widetilde{b}_{13}x_1x_3\widetilde{b}_{23}x_2x_3,\widetilde{b}_{123}x_1x_2x_3,\widetilde{b}_{12}x_1x_2,\widetilde{b}_{13}x_1x_3+\widetilde{b}_{23}x_2x_3)\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)^3}(\mathbf{x})\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}.\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Remark 8 *The case $p_{123}=0$ is solved by Letac and Wesołowski (Letac and Wesołowski, 2008).*

Remark 9 *If $\widetilde{b}_{12}=\widetilde{b}_{13}=\widetilde{b}_{23}=0$, Theorem 7 gives*

$$\gamma_{(\lambda,P)}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x})=\frac{p_{123}^{-\lambda}}{[\Gamma(\lambda)]^3}\exp(\widetilde{p}_1x_1+\widetilde{p}_2x_2+\widetilde{p}_3x_3)(x_1x_2x_3)^{\lambda-1}F_2\left(\lambda,\lambda;\widetilde{b}_{123}x_1x_2x_3\right)\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)^3}(\mathbf{x})\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x},$$

and if we put $\lambda=1$ in this last equality, we obtain the Kibble and Moran distribution given in (Balakrishnan et al.*, 2000).*

3 Laplace copula

We recall the following theorem (Marshall and Olkin, 1988), Theorem 2.1, p. 835, and his Corollary

Theorem 10 *Let $H_1,\ldots,H_n$, be univariate cdfs, and let G be an n-variate cdf such that $\overline{G}(0,\ldots,0)=1$, with univariate margins G_i $(i=1,\ldots,n)$. Denote the Laplace transforms of G and G_i, respectively, by ϕ and ϕ_i $(i=1,\ldots,n)$. Let K be a copula. If $F_i(x)=\exp\left\{-\phi_i^{-1}[H_i(x)]\right\}$ $(i=1,\ldots,n)$, then*

$$H(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\int\cdots\int K\left\{[F_1(x_1)]^{\theta_1},\ldots,[F_n(x_n)]^{\theta_n}\right\}\mathrm{d}G(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n) \tag{16}$$

is an n-variate cdf with marginals $H_1,\ldots,H_n$.

Corollary 11 *Under the conditions of the Theorem 10, with*
$K(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\prod_{i=1}^n x_i,(0\leqslant x_i\leqslant 1;\ i=1,\ldots,n)$,

$$H(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\phi\left\{\phi_1^{-1}[H_1(x_1)],\ldots,\phi_n^{-1}[H_n(x_n)]\right\} \tag{17}$$

defines an n-variate cdf with marginals $H_1,\ldots,H_n$.

Therefore copula for H is associated to G and is given by the formula

$$\mathbf{C}(u_1,\ldots,u_n)=\phi\left[\phi_1^{-1}(u_1),\ldots\phi_n^{-1}(u_n)\right]. \tag{18}$$

Remark 12 *If we know ϕ and ϕ_i, for $i=1,\ldots,n$, we can find the copula $\mathbf{C}$, that is the case for the multivariate gamma distributions and for the multivariate multi-factor gamma distributions. For the case $H_i=G_i$, we can write :*

$$H(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\phi\left\{\phi_1^{-1}[G_1(x_1)],\ldots\phi_n^{-1}[G_n(x_n)]\right\}, \tag{19}$$

and this last formula defines n-variate cdf gamma H with margin distributions $G_1,\ldots,G_n$.
Then the copula associated to H is

$$\mathbf{C}(u_1,\ldots,u_n)=\phi\left[\phi_1^{-1}(u_1),\ldots,\phi_n^{-1}(u_n)\right].$$

Now, from the Formula 18, we can give the following Definition

Definition 13 *Let* $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)$ *be a random vector in* $]0, +\infty[^n$ *with Laplace transform* $\varphi_{\mathbf{X}}$ *defined by* $L_{\mathbf{X}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = L_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) = \varphi_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) = \mathbb{E}\{\exp[-(\theta_1 X_1 + \cdots + \theta_n X_n)]\}$, *and let* φ_{X_i} *be the Laplace transform of the random variable* X_i, *defined by* $L_{X_i}(\theta_i) = \varphi_{X_i}(\theta_i) = \mathbb{E}[\exp(-\theta_i X_i)]$. *The function* φ_{X_i} *is a one and onto, and is decreasing of* $[0, +\infty[$ *onto* $]0, 1]$. *Its inverse function is denoted by* $\varphi_{X_i}^{-1}$. *Then the function* $C_{L_{\mathbf{X}}}$ *defined by*

$$C_{L_{\mathbf{X}}}(u_1, \ldots, u_n) = \varphi_{\mathbf{X}}\left[\varphi_{\mathbf{X}_1}^{-1}(u_1), \ldots, \varphi_{\mathbf{X}_n}^{-1}(u_n)\right]$$

is a copula. We call this copula $C_{L_{\mathbf{X}}}$ *the Laplace copula associated to the random vector* $\mathbf{X}$. *If* $\mathbf{X}$ *has pd* $\mu_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x})$, *then we denote still* $C_{L_{\mathbf{X}}}(u_1, \ldots, u_n) = C_{L_{\mu_{\mathbf{X}}}}(u_1, \ldots, u_n)$.

Proposition 14 *Let* $\mathbf{F}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{F}_n$, *be* n *univariate cdfs on* $]0, +\infty[$. *The relation*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{F}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) &= \varphi_{\mathbf{X}}\left\{\varphi_{\mathbf{X}_1}^{-1}[\mathbf{F}_1(x_1)], \ldots, \varphi_{\mathbf{X}_n}^{-1}[\mathbf{F}_n(x_n)]\right\} \\ &= C_{L_{\mathbf{X}}}[\mathbf{F}_1(x_1), \ldots, \mathbf{F}_n(x_n)]\end{aligned}$$

defines a cdf $\mathbf{F}$ *with marginal cdfs* $\mathbf{F}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{F}_n$. *Then the copula associated to* $\mathbf{F}$ *is* $C_{L_{\mathbf{X}}}$.
If we choose, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, $\mathbf{F}_i = \mathbf{F}_{X_i}$, *the cdf of* X_i, *then* $\mathbf{F}$ *is the cdf of a random vector* $\mathbf{X}$ *for which the marginal cdfs are the cdfs of* X_i, *and we have* $\mathbf{F} \neq \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}$ *where* $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}}$ *calls the cdf of* $\mathbf{X}$. *In the case of multivariate gamma distributions and multi-factor gamma distributions, we get other multivariate gamma distributions and multi-factor gamma distributions with given copula.*

Laplace copula can be seen as a generalization of Archimedean copula (Joe, 1997,2014).

4 Main results

Now, we are in the capacity to give the two main results of this paper

Theorem 15 *Let* P *an affine polynomial in the* n *variables* θ_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, *with* $P(\mathbf{0}) = 1$, *then the Laplace copula of the multivariate gamma distribution* $\gamma_{(P,\lambda)}$ *associated to* (P, λ) *is*

$$C_{L_{\gamma_{(P,\lambda)}}}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}^{[n]}[1 + \sum_{T \subset [n], |T|>1} (-1)^{|T|} P(-\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{1}_T) \prod_{t \in T}(1 - v_t^{\frac{1}{\lambda}})]^{-\lambda}, \tag{20}$$

where $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \ldots, v_n)$, $|T|$ *is the cardinality of* T *and the vector* $\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{1}_T$ *is defined by* $\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{1}_T\right)_i = \frac{1}{p_i}$ *if* $i \in T$, $\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{1}_T\right)_i = 0$ *if* $i \notin T$, *for* $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.

This family is given, by example, for the simpler case $P(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + p_i\theta_i)] - \beta \mathbf{p}^{[n]} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{[n]}$, with $0 \leqslant \beta < 1$, corresponding to the family gived by (Fang *et al.,* 2000), namely $C(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}^{[n]}[1 - \beta \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - v_i^{\frac{1}{\lambda}})]^{-\lambda}$.

Theorem 16 *Let P an affine polynomial in the n variables θ_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, with $P(\mathbf{0}) = 1$, let $\Lambda = (\lambda, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ with $\lambda_i > \lambda > 0$, for $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, then the Laplace copula of the multivariate multi-factor gamma distribution $\gamma_{(P,\Lambda)}$ associated to (P, Λ) is*

$$C_{L_{\gamma_{(P,\Lambda)}}}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}^{[n]}[1 + \sum_{T \subset [n], |T|>1} (-1)^{|T|} P(-\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{1}_T) \prod_{t \in T} (1 - v_t^{\frac{1}{\lambda_t}})]^{-\lambda}. \tag{21}$$

This copula family is a new generalization of Farlie-Gumbel-Morgenstern Copulas.

Bekrizadeh *et al.,* 2012 propose a similar formula only for λ being a negative integer.

We note that, if the conditions of Theorem 5 are checked, formulas (20) and (21) define copulas.

For these copulas, if we inject univariate gamma distributions $\gamma_{(p_i,\lambda)}$ in the first case or $\gamma_{(p_i,\lambda_i)}$ in the second case, then we obtain other multivariate gamma distributions and other multivariate multi-factor gamma distributions in the sense that their marginal distributions are respectively $\gamma_{(p_1,\lambda)}$ and $\gamma_{(p_1,\lambda_i)}$. Their cdf and pdf are given by (1) and (2) respectively, and have a link with *multivariate gamma distribution associated with* (P, λ) and *multi-factor gamma distribution associated with* (P, Λ) respectively.

5 The bidimensional and tridimensional cases

For the bidimensional case, Theorem (15) and Theorem (16) give the following corollary

Corollary 17 *For the bivariate gamma distribution such that $L_{\gamma_{(P,\lambda)}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (P(\boldsymbol{\theta}))^{-\lambda}$, we have*

$$C_{L_{\gamma_{(P,\lambda)}}}(v_1, v_2) = v_1 v_2 [1 - r_{12}(1 - v_1^{\frac{1}{\lambda}})(1 - v_2^{\frac{1}{\lambda}})]^{-\lambda},$$

where, for $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2)$ with pd $\gamma_{(P,\lambda)}$, $r_{12} = -P(-p_1^{-1}, -p_2^{-1}) = 1 - p_{12}/(p_1 p_2)$ is the linear correlation coefficient of the random variables X_1, X_2 ; it checks $0 \leqslant r_{12} \leqslant 1$. It is the copula of the BB10 family p. 154 in the Joe's book (Joe 1997). This result is due to the following equalities : $E(X_i) = \lambda p_i$, $Var(X_i) = \lambda p_i^2$, $Cov(X_1, X_2) = \lambda(-p_{12} + p_1 p_2)$ obtained by derivating $L_{\gamma_{(P,\lambda)}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ at $\mathbf{0}$.
For the bivariate multi-factor gamma distribution such that $L_{\gamma_{(P,\Lambda)}}(\theta) = (P(\theta))^{-\lambda} \prod_{i=1}^{2} (1 + p_i \theta_i)^{-(\lambda_i - \lambda)}$, we have a more general family

$$C_{L_{\gamma_{(P,\Lambda)}}}(v_1, v_2) = v_1 v_2 [1 - r_{12}(1 - v_1^{\frac{1}{\lambda_1}})(1 - v_2^{\frac{1}{\lambda_2}})]^{-\lambda}, \tag{22}$$

where, for $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2) = (Y_1, Y_2) + (Z_1, Z_2) = \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{Z}$ as defined in Proposition 1 with pd $\gamma_{(P,\Lambda)}$, and $0 \leqslant r_{12} = -P(-p_1^{-1}, -p_2^{-1}) = 1 - p_{12}/(p_1 p_2) \leqslant 1$, is the linear correlation coefficient of the random variables Y_1, Y_2 in the bivariate gamma distribution such that $L_{\mathbf{Y}}(\theta) = [P(\theta)]^{-\lambda}$.

This bivariate family is not given in the Joe's books (Joe, 1997, 2014). Bekrizadeh *et al.,* 2012 give a similar formula for λ being a negative integer.

We recall the formulas (Joe, 2014) for the computation of τ, the Kendall's tau, and ρ_S, the Spearmann's rho

$$\tau = 1 - 4 \iint_{[0,1]^2} \frac{\partial C}{\partial u}(u,v) \frac{\partial C}{\partial v}(u,v) \, \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v, \tag{23}$$

$$\rho_S = 12 \iint_{[0,1]^2} C(u,v) \, \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v - 3. \tag{24}$$

Then, we can give the following result

Proposition 18 *The Kendall's tau and the Spearman's rho of the Laplace copula of the bivariate multifactor gamma distribution are given by the following formulas*

$$\begin{aligned}\tau &= 1 - F_2^3(2\lambda, 1, 1; 2\lambda_1 + 1, 2\lambda_2 + 1; r_{12}) \\ &+ \frac{4\lambda}{(2\lambda_1+1)(2\lambda_2+1)} r_{12} F_2^3(2\lambda+1, 1, 2; 2\lambda_1+2, 2\lambda_2+2; r_{12}) \\ &- \frac{\lambda^2}{(2\lambda_1+1)(2\lambda_2+1)(\lambda_1+1)(\lambda_2+1)} r_{12}^2 F_2^3(2\lambda+2, 2, 2; 2\lambda_1+3, 2\lambda_2+3; r_{12}),\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

and

$$\rho_S = 3\left[F_2^3(1, 1, \lambda; 2\lambda_1 + 1, 2\lambda_2 + 1; r_{12}) - 1\right] \tag{26}$$

$$= \frac{3\lambda}{(2\lambda_1+1)(2\lambda_2+1)} r_{12} F_2^3(1, 2, \lambda+1; 2\lambda_1+2, 2\lambda_2+2; r_{12}). \tag{27}$$

For the tridimensional case, Theorem (15) and Theorem (16) give the following corollary

Corollary 19 *For the trivariate gamma distribution $\gamma_{(P,\lambda)}$ such that $L_{\gamma_{(P,\lambda)}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (P(\boldsymbol{\theta}))^{-\lambda}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}C_{L_{\gamma_{(P,\lambda)}}}(v_1, v_2, v_3) = v_1 v_2 v_3 [1 &- r_{12}(1 - v_1^{\frac{1}{\lambda}})(1 - v_2^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}) - r_{13}(1 - v_1^{\frac{1}{\lambda}})(1 - v_3^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}) \\ &- r_{23}(1 - v_2^{\frac{1}{\lambda}})(1 - v_3^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}) + 2r_{123}(1 - v_1^{\frac{1}{\lambda}})(1 - v_2^{\frac{1}{\lambda}})(1 - v_3^{\frac{1}{\lambda}})]^{-\lambda},\end{aligned}$$

where, for $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, X_3)$ with pd $\gamma_{(P,\lambda)}$, we denote by $r_{ij} = -P(-\frac{1}{p}\mathbf{1}_{\{i,j\}}) = 1 - p_{ij}/(p_i p_j)$, $1 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant 3$, the linear correlation coefficient of the random variables X_i, X_j, they check $0 \leqslant r_{ij} \leqslant 1$, and we denote by r_{123} the number defined by

$$\begin{aligned}r_{123} &= \mathbb{E}\{\prod_{i=1}^{3} [X_i - \mathbb{E}(X_i)]\} / \prod_{i=1}^{3} (\mathbb{E}\{[X_i - \mathbb{E}(X_i)]^3\})^{1/3} \\ &= -\tfrac{1}{2} P(-p_1^{-1}, -p_2^{-1}, -p_3^{-1})\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

(to compare with $r_{12} = \mathbb{E}\{\prod_{i=1}^{2} [X_i - \mathbb{E}(X_i)]\} / \prod_{i=1}^{2} (\mathbb{E}\{[X_i - \mathbb{E}(X_i)]^2\})^{1/2}$).
This last result is due to the following equalities : $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = \lambda p_i$, $\mathbb{E}\{[X_i - \mathbb{E}(X_i)]^3\} = 2\lambda p_i^3$,
$\mathbb{E}\{\prod_{i=1}^{3} [X_i - \mathbb{E}(X_i)]\} = -\lambda p_1 p_2 p_3 P(-p_1^{-1}, -p_2^{-1}, -p_3^{-1})$ obtained by derivating $L_{\gamma_{(P,\lambda)}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ at $\mathbf{0}$.

For the trivariate multi-factor gamma distribution such that $L_{\gamma_{(P,\Lambda)}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = [P(\boldsymbol{\theta})]^{-\lambda} \prod_{i=1}^{3} (1+p_i\theta_i)^{-(\lambda_i-\lambda)}$ *(*$\lambda_i \geqslant \lambda$, $i = 1,2,3$ *), we have*

$$C_{L_{\gamma_{(P,\Lambda)}}}(v_1,v_2,v_3) = v_1v_2v_3[1 - r_{12}(1-v_1^{\frac{1}{\lambda_1}})(1-v_2^{\frac{1}{\lambda_2}}) - r_{13}(1-v_1^{\frac{1}{\lambda_1}})(1-v_3^{\frac{1}{\lambda_3}}) - r_{23}(1-v_2^{\frac{1}{\lambda_2}})(1-v_3^{\frac{1}{\lambda_3}}) + 2r_{123}(1-v_1^{\frac{1}{\lambda_1}})(1-v_2^{\frac{1}{\lambda_2}})(1-v_3^{\frac{1}{\lambda_3}})]^{-\lambda},$$

where, for $\mathbf{X} = (X_1,X_2,X_3) = (Y_1,Y_2,Y_3) + (Z_1,Z_2,Z_3) = \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{Z}$ *as defined in Proposition 1 with pd* $\gamma_{(P,\Lambda)}$*, we denote by* $r_{ij} = -P(-\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{1}_{\{i,j\}}) = 1 - \frac{p_{ij}}{p_ip_j}$, $1 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant 3$*, the linear correlation coefficient of the random variables* Y_i, Y_j *in the trivariate gamma distribution such that* $L_Y(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (P(\boldsymbol{\theta}))^{-\lambda}$*, they check* $0 \leqslant r_{ij} \leqslant 1$*, and* r_{123} *is defined by equality (28).*

6 Appendix

6.1 Proof of Theorem 3

Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_1,X_2)$ and $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1,Y_2)$ be independent random variables. The pdf of $\mathbf{Z} = (X_1+Y_1, X_2+Y_2)$ where X_i has pd $\gamma(p_i, \lambda_i - \lambda)$, $i = 1,2$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ has pd $\gamma(P,\lambda)$, is obtained by convolution. By changing variables $1 - v_i/z_i = u_i$, $i = 1,2$, and with the notation $c = b_{12}(\widetilde{P}) = -p_{12}^{-1} + p_1p_2p_{12}^{-2}$, $d_i = cp_{12}p_i^{-1}$, $i = 1,2$ we obtain the pdf $f_{\mathbf{Z}}$ of $\mathbf{Z}$ by $f_{\boldsymbol{Z}}(z_1,z_2) = 0$ if $(z_1,z_2) \notin (0,\infty)^2$ and for $(z_1,z_2) \in (0,\infty)^2$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{Z}}(z_1,z_2) &= \int_0^{z_1}\int_0^{z_2} \frac{1}{[\Gamma(\lambda)]^2 p_{12}^{\lambda}} \mathbf{e}^{-\left(\frac{p_2}{p_{12}}v_1 + \frac{p_1}{p_{12}}v_2\right)} (v_1v_2)^{\lambda-1} F_1(\lambda; cv_1v_2) \\ &\times \frac{\mathbf{e}^{-\frac{z_1-v_1}{p_1}}}{\Gamma(\lambda_1-\lambda)} \left(\frac{z_1-v_1}{p_1}\right)^{\lambda_1-\lambda} \frac{\mathbf{e}^{-\frac{z_2-v_2}{p_2}}}{\Gamma(\lambda_2-\lambda)} \left(\frac{z_2-v_2}{p_2}\right)^{\lambda_2-\lambda} \frac{\mathrm{d}v_1}{z_1-v_1}\frac{\mathrm{d}v_2}{z_2-v_2} \\ &= \frac{z_1^{\lambda_1-1}z_2^{\lambda_2-1}\mathbf{e}^{-\left(\frac{p_2}{p_{12}}z_1 + \frac{p_1}{p_{12}}z_2\right)}}{(\Gamma(\lambda))\Gamma(\lambda_1-\lambda)\Gamma(\lambda_2-\lambda)p_{12}^{\lambda}p_1^{\lambda_1-\lambda}p_2^{\lambda_2-\lambda}} \sum_{k\geqslant 0} \frac{(cz_1z_2)^k}{\Gamma(\lambda+k)k!} \\ &\times \int_0^1 \mathbf{e}^{d_1z_1u_1} u_1^{\lambda_1-\lambda-1}(1-u_1)^{\lambda+k-1}\,\mathrm{d}u_1 \int_0^1 \mathbf{e}^{d_2z_2u_2} u_2^{\lambda_2-\lambda-1}(1-u_2)^{\lambda+k-1}\,\mathrm{d}u_2. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

As we have, with the notation $B(\alpha,\beta) = \int_0^1 u^{\alpha-1}(1-u)^{\beta-1}\,\mathrm{d}u = \Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)/\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)$, $\alpha,\beta > 0$ for the Euler's Beta function,

$$\int_0^1 \mathbf{e}^{\delta u} u^{\alpha-1}(1-u)^{\beta-1}\,\mathrm{d}u = B(\alpha,\beta)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_n}{(\alpha+\beta)_n}\frac{\delta^n}{n!},$$

we obtain

$$f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \frac{z_1^{\lambda_1-1} z_2^{\lambda_2-1} \mathbf{e}^{-\left(\frac{p_2}{p_{12}} z_1 + \frac{p_1}{p_{12}} z_2\right)}}{\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(\lambda_1-\lambda)\Gamma(\lambda_2-\lambda) p_{12}^{\lambda} p_1^{\lambda_1-\lambda} p_2^{\lambda_2-\lambda}} \sum_{k\geqslant 0} \frac{(cz_1z_2)^k}{\Gamma(\lambda+k)\,k!} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda_1-\lambda)\Gamma(\lambda+k)}{\Gamma(\lambda_1+k)}$$
$$\times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda_1-\lambda)_n}{(\lambda_1+k)_n} \frac{(d_1z_1)^n}{n!} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda_2-\lambda)\Gamma(\lambda+k)}{\Gamma(\lambda_2+k)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda_2-\lambda)_m}{(\lambda_2+k)_m} \frac{(d_2z_2)^m}{m!}$$
$$= \frac{z_1^{\lambda_1-1} z_2^{\lambda_2-1} \mathbf{e}^{-\left(\frac{p_2}{p_{12}} z_1 + \frac{p_1}{p_{12}} z_2\right)}}{\Gamma(\lambda_1)\Gamma(\lambda_2) p_{12}^{\lambda} p_1^{\lambda_1-\lambda} p_2^{\lambda_2-\lambda}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda_1-\lambda)_n (\lambda_2-\lambda)_m (\lambda)_k}{(\lambda_1)_{k+n} (\lambda_2)_{k+m}} \frac{(d_1z_1)^n}{n!} \frac{(d_2z_2)^m}{m!} \frac{(cz_1z_2)^k}{k!}.$$

Hence, we have proved the formula (11).

6.2 Proof of Theorem 7

We start from the Laplace transform of the trivariate gamma distribution $\gamma_{(\lambda,P)}$ associated to (λ, P). First, we write

$$L_{\gamma_{(\lambda,P)}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (1 + p_1\theta_1 + p_2\theta_2 + p_3\theta_3 + p_{12}\theta_1\theta_2 + p_{13}\theta_1\theta_3 + p_{23}\theta_2\theta_3 + p_{123}\theta_1\theta_2\theta_3)^{-\lambda}$$
$$= (1 + p_3\theta_3)^{-\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{p_1 + p_{13}\theta_3}{1 + p_3\theta_3}\theta_1 + \frac{p_2 + p_{23}\theta_3}{1 + p_3\theta_3}\theta_2 + \frac{p_{12} + p_{123}\theta_3}{1 + p_3\theta_3}\theta_1\theta_2\right)^{-\lambda}.$$

Let $Q(\theta_1, \theta_2) = 1 + q_1\theta_1 + q_2\theta_2 + q_{12}\theta_1\theta_2$ where $q_1 = (p_1 + p_{13}\theta_3)/(1 + p_3\theta_3)$, $q_2 = (p_2 + p_{23}\theta_3)/(1 + p_3\theta_3)$, and $q_{12} = (p_{12} + p_{123}\theta_3)/(1 + p_3\theta_3)$. With these notations, we have

$$L_{\gamma_{(\lambda,P)}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (1 + p_3\theta_3)^{-\lambda} (1 + q_1\theta_1 + q_2\theta_2 + q_{12}\theta_1\theta_2)^{-\lambda}.$$

Let $\widetilde{p}_T = -p_{\overline{T}}/p_{123}$,$\widetilde{b}_{ij} = \widetilde{p}_{ij} + \widetilde{p}_i\widetilde{p}_j$, and $\widetilde{b}_{123} = \widetilde{p}_{123} + \widetilde{p}_1\widetilde{p}_{23} + \widetilde{p}_2\widetilde{p}_{13} + \widetilde{p}_3\widetilde{p}_{12} + 2\widetilde{p}_1\widetilde{p}_2\widetilde{p}_3$, then we have

$$b_{1,2}(\widetilde{Q}) = \frac{-q_{12} + q_1q_2}{q_{12}^2}$$
$$= \widetilde{b}_{12} + \frac{\widetilde{b}_{123}}{(\theta_3 - \widetilde{p}_3)} + \frac{\widetilde{b}_{13}\widetilde{b}_{23}}{(\theta_3 - \widetilde{p}_3)^2}.$$

Let $\gamma_{(\lambda,Q)}$ be the bivariate gamma distribution associated to (λ, Q), its Laplace transform is $(1 + q_1\theta_1 + q_2\theta_2 + q_{12}\theta_2\theta_3)^{-\lambda}$. We have

$$\gamma_{(\lambda,Q)}(dx) = \frac{q_{12}^{-\lambda}}{[\Gamma(\lambda)]^2} e^{-\frac{q_2}{q_{12}}x_1 - \frac{q_1}{q_{12}}x_2} (x_1x_2)^{\lambda-1} F_1[\lambda, b_{1,2}(\widetilde{Q})x_1x_2] \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)^2}(x_1, x_2)\, \mathrm{d}x_1\mathrm{d}x_2.$$

Second, we are looking for the unidimensional distribution for which its Laplace transform with respect

to the variable θ_3 is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1+p_3\theta_3)^{-\lambda}\,\frac{q_{12}^{-\lambda}}{\left(\Gamma\left(\lambda\right)\right)^2}e^{-\frac{q_2}{q_{12}}x_1-\frac{q_1}{q_{12}}x_2}\left(x_1x_2\right)^{\lambda-1}F_1[\lambda,b_{1,2}(\widetilde{Q})x_1x_2]\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)^2}\left(x_1,x_2\right)\,\mathrm{d}x_1\mathrm{d}x_2\\
&=\frac{p_{123}^{-\lambda}}{\left[\Gamma\left(\lambda\right)\right]^2}\exp\left(\widetilde{p}_1x_1+\widetilde{p}_2x_2\right)\left(x_1x_2\right)^{\lambda-1}\left(\theta_3-\widetilde{p}_3\right)^{-\lambda}\exp(\frac{\widetilde{b}_{13}x_1+\widetilde{b}_{23}x_2}{\theta_3-\widetilde{p}_3})\\
&\times F_1\{\lambda,[\widetilde{b}_{12}+\frac{\widetilde{b}_{123}}{\theta_3-\widetilde{p}_3}+\frac{\widetilde{b}_{13}\widetilde{b}_{23}}{\left(\theta_3-\widetilde{p}_3\right)^2}]x_1x_2\}\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)^2}\left(x_1,x_2\right)\,\mathrm{d}x_1\mathrm{d}x_2. \qquad (30)
\end{aligned}$$

From equality (30) and (Hladik, 1969), we obtain the unidimensional distribution for which its Laplace transform is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{p_{123}^{-\lambda}}{\left[\Gamma\left(\lambda\right)\right]^2}\exp\left(\widetilde{p}_1x_1+\widetilde{p}_2x_2+\widetilde{p}_3x_3\right)\left(x_1x_2\right)^{\lambda-1}\theta_3^{-\lambda}\exp(\frac{\widetilde{b}_{13}x_1+\widetilde{b}_{23}x_2}{\theta_3})\\
&\times F_1[\lambda,(\frac{\widetilde{b}_{13}\widetilde{b}_{23}}{\theta_3^2}+\frac{\widetilde{b}_{123}}{\theta_3}+\widetilde{b}_{12})x_1x_2]\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)^2}\left(x_1,x_2\right)\,\mathrm{d}x_1\mathrm{d}x_2. \qquad (31)
\end{aligned}$$

Third, we are looking for the unidimensional distribution for which its Laplace transform with respect to the variable θ_3 is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\theta_3^{-\lambda}\exp(\frac{\widetilde{b}_{13}x_1+\widetilde{b}_{23}x_2}{\theta_3})F_1[\lambda,(\frac{\widetilde{b}_{13}\widetilde{b}_{23}}{\theta_3^2}+\frac{\widetilde{b}_{123}}{\theta_3}+\widetilde{b}_{12})x_1x_2]\\
&=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\left(\lambda\right)_k}\left(x_1x_2\right)^k\sum_{\ell+m+n=k}\frac{1}{\ell!m!n!}\widetilde{b}_{13}^{\ell}\widetilde{b}_{23}^{\ell}\widetilde{b}_{123}^{m}\widetilde{b}_{12}^{n}\theta_3^{-(\lambda+2\ell+m)}\exp(\frac{\widetilde{b}_{13}x_1+\widetilde{b}_{23}x_2}{\theta_3}) \qquad (32)
\end{aligned}$$

From (Hadlik,1986), we have the following equality

$$L_{[\Gamma(\lambda)]^{-1}t^{\lambda-1}F_1(\lambda,at)\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(t)\,\mathrm{d}t}\left(s\right)=s^{-\lambda}\exp\left(\frac{a}{s}\right) \qquad (33)$$

We utilize the equality (33) in (32) and we obtain the following unidimensional distribution

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\left(\lambda\right)_k}\left(x_1x_2\right)^k\sum_{\ell+m+n=k}\frac{1}{\ell!m!n!}\widetilde{b}_{13}^{\ell}\widetilde{b}_{23}^{\ell}\widetilde{b}_{123}^{m}\widetilde{b}_{12}^{n}\left[\Gamma\left(\lambda+2\ell+m\right)\right]^{-1}x_3^{\lambda+2\ell+m-1}\\
&\times F_1\left[\lambda+2\ell+m,(\widetilde{b}_{13}x_1+\widetilde{b}_{23}x_2)x_3\right]\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}\left(x_3\right)\,\mathrm{d}x_3\\
&=\left[\Gamma\left(\lambda\right)\right]^{-1}x_3^{\lambda-1}\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}\left(x_3\right)\\
&\times\sum_{(\ell,m,n)\in\mathbb{N}^3}\frac{1}{\left(\lambda\right)_{(\ell+m+n)}\left(\lambda\right)_{(2\ell+m)}\ell!m!n!}(\widetilde{b}_{13}x_1x_3\widetilde{b}_{23}x_2x_3)^{\ell}(\widetilde{b}_{123}x_1x_2x_3)^{m}(\widetilde{b}_{12}x_1x_2)^{n}\\
&\times F_1(\lambda+2\ell+m,\widetilde{b}_{13}x_1x_3+\widetilde{b}_{23}x_2x_3)\,\mathrm{d}x_3\\
&=\left[\Gamma\left(\lambda\right)\right]^{-1}x_3^{\lambda-1}\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}\left(x_3\right)\\
&\times\sum_{(\ell,m,n,p)\in\mathbb{N}^4}\frac{1}{\left(\lambda\right)_{(\ell+m+n)}\left(\lambda\right)_{(2\ell+m+p)}\ell!m!n!p!}\\
&\times(\widetilde{b}_{13}x_1x_3\widetilde{b}_{23}x_2x_3)^{\ell}(\widetilde{b}_{123}x_1x_2x_3)^{m}(\widetilde{b}_{12}x_1x_2)^{n}(\widetilde{b}_{13}x_1x_3+\widetilde{b}_{23}x_2x_3)^{p}\,\mathrm{d}x_3\\
&=\left[\Gamma\left(\lambda\right)\right]^{-1}x_3^{\lambda-1}F_{II}(\lambda,\lambda,\widetilde{b}_{13}x_1x_3\widetilde{b}_{23}x_2x_3,\widetilde{b}_{123}x_1x_2x_3,\widetilde{b}_{12}x_1x_2,\widetilde{b}_{13}x_1x_3+\widetilde{b}_{23}x_2x_3)\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}\left(x_3\right)\,\mathrm{d}x_3 \qquad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

We carry this last equality (34) in (31) and we obtain the pd (15).

6.3 Proof of Theorem 15

We utilize the following notations, for $i \in [n]$, $L_{\gamma_{(p_i,\lambda)}}(\theta_i) = (1+p_i\theta_i)^{-\lambda} = v_i, 0 < \lambda_i < \lambda$ and $1+p_i\theta_i = u_i$. In particular $\theta_i = (u_i - 1)/p_i = \left(v_i^{-1/\lambda} - 1\right)/p_i$ and $u_i = v_i^{-1/\lambda}$. We remark that $u_i = 0 \Leftrightarrow \theta_i = -1/p_i$.

We start from the Laplace transform of the *multivariate gamma distribution* associated to (P, λ). From the definition of $\gamma_{(P,\lambda)}$, we obtain

$$[L_{\gamma_{(P,\lambda)}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})]^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}} = \sum_{T\subset[n]} p_T \boldsymbol{\theta}^T.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[L_{\gamma_{(P,\lambda)}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})]^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}} &= \sum_{T\subset[n]} p_T \left(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{1}_{[n]}\right)^T \left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}}\right)^T \\ &= \sum_{T\subset[n]} q_T \mathbf{u}^T = Q(\mathbf{u})\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$, and

$$Q(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{T\subset[n]} \alpha_T \left(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{1}_{[n]}\right)^T \mathbf{u}^{\overline{T}},$$

indeed, the polynomials $\left(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{1}_{[n]}\right)^T \mathbf{u}^{\overline{T}}$, $T \subset [n]$ form a basis of the vector space of affine polynomials of degree less or equal to n. Because $u_i = 0 \Leftrightarrow \theta_i = -\frac{1}{p_i}$, for $i \in [n]$, we have

$$Q(0) = P(-\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{1}_{[n]}) = (-1)^n \alpha_{[n]},$$

consequently

$$\alpha_{[n]} = (-1)^n P(-\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{1}_{[n]}).$$

Since P is an affine polynomial, we have

$$\alpha_T = (-1)^{|T|} P(-\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{1}_T),$$

in particular $\alpha_T = 0$, if $|T| = 1$ and $\alpha_\varnothing = 1$. Finally we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}P(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \sum_{T\subset[n]} (-1)^{|T|} P(-\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{1}_T) \left(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{1}_{[n]}\right)^T \mathbf{u}^{\overline{T}} \\ &= \mathbf{u}^{[n]} + \sum_{T\subset[n], |T|>1} (-1)^{|T|} P(-\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{1}_T) \left(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{1}_{[n]}\right)^T \mathbf{u}^{\overline{T}}\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

We deduce from equality (35)

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{\gamma_{(P,\lambda)}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= [\sum_{T\subset[n]}(-1)^{|T|}P(-\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{1}_T)\left(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{1}_{[n]}\right)^T\mathbf{u}^{\overline{T}}]^{-\lambda}\\
&= \left(\mathbf{u}^{[n]}\right)^{-\lambda}[\sum_{T\subset[n]}(-1)^{|T|}P(-\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{1}_T)(\mathbf{1}_{[n]}-\frac{1}{\mathbf{u}})^T]^{-\lambda}\\
&= \mathbf{v}^{[n]}[1+\sum_{T\subset[n],|T|>1}(-1)^{|T|}P(-\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{1}_T)\prod_{t\in T}(1-v_t^{\frac{1}{\lambda}})]^{-\lambda}\\
&= C_{L_{\gamma_{(P,\lambda)}}}(\mathbf{v}).
\end{aligned}$$

6.4 Proof of Theorem 16

We start from the Laplace transform of the *multivariate multi-factor gamma distribution* associated to (P,Λ), $\Lambda=(\lambda,\lambda_1,\dots\lambda_n)$, where P is an affine polynomial with respect to $\boldsymbol{\theta}=(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n)$ and $\lambda_i\geqslant\lambda$, for $i\in[n]$. We use the following notations $u_i=(1+p_i\theta_i)^{-\lambda}$ and $v_i=(1+p_i\theta_i)^{-\lambda_i}$ so that $(1+p_i\theta_i)^{-(\lambda_i-\lambda)}=v_i/u_i$ and $(1+p_i\theta_i)^{-1}=u_i^{1/\lambda}=v_i^{1/\lambda_i}$. According to equality 20, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{\gamma_{(P,\Lambda)}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= [P(\boldsymbol{\theta})]^{-\lambda}\prod_{i\in[n]}(1+p_i\theta_i)^{-(\lambda_i-\lambda)}\\
&= \mathbf{u}^{[n]}[1+\sum_{T\subset[n],|T|>1}(-1)^{|T|}P(-\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{1}_T)\prod_{t\in T}(1-u_t^{\frac{1}{\lambda}})]^{-\lambda}\prod_{i\in[n]}\frac{v_i}{u_i}\\
&= \mathbf{v}^{[n]}[1+\sum_{T\subset[n],|T|>1}(-1)^{|T|}P(-\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{1}_T)\prod_{t\in T}(1-u_t^{\frac{1}{\lambda}})]^{-\lambda}\\
&= \mathbf{v}^{[n]}[1+\sum_{T\subset[n],|T|>1}(-1)^{|T|}P(-\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{1}_T)\prod_{t\in T}(1-v_t^{\frac{1}{\lambda_t}})]^{-\lambda}\\
&= C_{L_{\gamma_{(P,\Lambda)}}}(\mathbf{v}).
\end{aligned}$$

6.5 Proof of Proposition 18

6.5.1 Kendall's tau

By injecting the given copula in formula (22) in formula (23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1-\tau}{4} = \iint_{[0,1]^2} & u_1u_2[1-r_{12}(1-u_1^{\frac{1}{\lambda_1}})(1-u_2^{\frac{1}{\lambda_2}})]^{-2\lambda-2}\\
& \{1-r_{12}[1-(1-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1})u_1^{\frac{1}{\lambda_1}}](1-u_2^{\frac{1}{\lambda_2}})\}\{1-r_{12}[1-(1-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_2})u_2^{\frac{1}{\lambda_2}}](1-u_1^{\frac{1}{\lambda_1}})\}\,\mathrm{d}u_1\mathrm{d}u_2.
\end{aligned}$$

By changing the variables $t_i = 1 - u_i^{1/\lambda_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1-\tau}{4\lambda_1\lambda_2} = &\iint_{[0,1]^2} (1 - r_{12}t_1t_2)^{-2\lambda} (1-t_1)^{2\lambda_1-1} (1-t_2)^{2\lambda_2-1} \\ &- \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1} r_{12} (1 - r_{12}t_1t_2)^{-2\lambda-1} (1-t_1)^{2\lambda_1} t_2 (1-t_2)^{2\lambda_2-1} \\ &- \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_2} r_{12} (1 - r_{12}t_1t_2)^{-2\lambda-1} t_1 (1-t_1)^{2\lambda_1-1} (1-t_2)^{2\lambda_2} \\ &+ \frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda_1\lambda_2} r_{12}^2 (1 - r_{12}t_1t_2)^{-2\lambda-2} t_1 (1-t_1)^{2\lambda_1} t_2 (1-t_2)^{2\lambda_2} \, \mathrm{d}t_1\mathrm{d}t_2.\end{aligned}$$

By using equality $(1 - r_{12}t_1t_2)^{-2\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2\lambda)_k \frac{\left(r_{12}^k t_1^k t_2^k\right)}{k!}$ in the last result, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1-\tau}{4\lambda_1\lambda_2} = &\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2\lambda)_k \frac{r_{12}^k}{k!} B(k+1, 2\lambda_1) B(k+1, 2\lambda_2) \\ &- \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1} r_{12} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2\lambda+1)_k \frac{r_{12}^k}{k!} B(k+1, 2\lambda_1+1) B(k+2, 2\lambda_2) \\ &- \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_2} r_{12} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2\lambda+1)_k \frac{r_{12}^k}{k!} B(k+2, 2\lambda_1) B(k+1, 2\lambda_2+1) \\ &+ \frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda_1\lambda_2} r_{12}^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2\lambda+2)_k \frac{r_{12}^k}{k!} B(k+2, 2\lambda_1+1) B(k+2, 2\lambda_2+1).\end{aligned}$$

With the equality $B(\alpha, \beta) = \Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)/\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)$ for $\alpha, \beta > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}1 - \tau = &\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1)_k (1)_k (2\lambda)_k}{(2\lambda_1+1)_k (2\lambda_2+1)_k} \frac{r_{12}^k}{k!} \\ &- \frac{4\lambda}{(2\lambda_1+1)(2\lambda_2+1)} r_{12} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1)_k (2)_k (2\lambda+1)_k}{(2\lambda_1+2)_k (2\lambda_2+2)_k} \frac{r_{12}^k}{k!} \\ &+ \frac{\lambda^2}{(2\lambda_1+1)(2\lambda_2+1)(\lambda_1+1)(\lambda_2+1)} r_{12}^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2)_k (2)_k (2\lambda+2)_k}{(2\lambda_1+3)_k (2\lambda_2+3)_k} \frac{r_{12}^k}{k!}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by using equality (6), we have proved equality (25).

6.5.2 Spearman's rho

By injecting the given copula in formula (22) in formula ((24), we obtain

$$\varrho_S = 12 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 u_1 u_2 [1 - r_{12}(1 - u_1^{\frac{1}{\lambda_1}})(1 - u_2^{\frac{1}{\lambda_2}})]^{-\lambda} \, \mathrm{d}u_1\mathrm{d}u_2 - 3. \tag{36}$$

By changing the variables $v_i = u_i^{\frac{1}{\lambda_i}}$, $i = 1, 2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 \int_0^1 u_1 u_2 [1 - r_{12} \left((1 - u_1^{\frac{1}{\lambda_1}}) \right) (1 - u_2^{\frac{1}{\lambda_2}})]^{-\lambda} \, \mathrm{d}u_1 \mathrm{d}u_2 \\
&\qquad = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \lambda_1 \lambda_2 v_1^{2\lambda_1 - 1} v_2^{2\lambda_2 - 1} \left[1 - r_{12} \left(1 - v_1\right) \left(1 - v_2\right)\right]^{-\lambda} \, \mathrm{d}v_1 \mathrm{d}v_2 \\
&\qquad = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_k}{k!} r_{12}^k \int_0^1 v_1^{2\lambda_1 - 1} \left(1 - v_1\right)^k \mathrm{d}v_1 \int_0^1 v_2^{2\lambda_2 - 1} \left(1 - v_2\right)^k \, \mathrm{d}v_2 \\
&\qquad = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_k}{k!} r_{12}^k B\left(2\lambda_1, k + 1\right) B\left(2\lambda_2, k + 1\right) \\
&\qquad = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_k \, (1)_k^2}{(2\lambda_1 + 1)_k \, (2\lambda_2 + 1)_k} \frac{r_{12}^k}{k!} \\
&\qquad = \frac{1}{4} F_2^3 \left(1, 1, \lambda; 2\lambda_1 + 1, 2\lambda_2 + 1; r_{12}\right) \qquad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

By injecting equality (37) in equality (36), we obtain equality (26). We remark that we have

$$F_2^3 \left(\lambda, 1, 1; 2\lambda_1 + 1, 2\lambda_2 + 1; r_{12}\right) - 1 = \frac{\lambda}{\left(2\lambda_1 + 1\right) \left(2\lambda_2 + 1\right)} r_{12} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)! \frac{(\lambda + 1)_k}{(2\lambda_1 + 2)_k \, (2\lambda_2 + 2)_k} r_{12}^k \right].$$

This gives equality (27).

7 Acknowledgement

I thank Gérard Letac for many helpful conversations.

References

[1] Balakrishnan, N., Johnson, N. L. and Kotz, S. (2000). *Continuous Multivariate Distributions, volume 1 : Models and Applications, 2 edition.* New York: Wiley.

[2] Bar-Lev, S.K., Bshouty, D., Enis, P., Letac, G., Lu, I., and Richards, D. (1994). The diagonal multivariate natural exponential families and their classification. *J. Theoret. Probab.* 7: 883–929.

[3] Bekrizadeh, H. Parham G. A. and Zadkarmi M. R., (2012). The New Generalization of Farlie–Gumbel–Morgenstern Copulas. *Appl. Math. Sci.* 6: 3527–3533.

[4] Bernardoff, P. (2006). Which multivariate gamma distributions are infinitely divisible? *Bernoulli* 12(1): 169–189.

[5] Chatelain, F., Tourneret, J. Y., Inglada, J. (2008). Change detection in multisensor SAR images using bivariate gamma distributions. *IEEE Trans. Image Process* 17(3): 249–258.

[6] Dussauchoy, A. and Berland, R. Lois gamma à deux dimensions. (1972). *Comptes-rendus Acad. des Sciences de Paris* 274:1946–1949.

[7] Fang, K.T., Fang, H.B., von Rosen, D. (2000). A family of bivariate distributions with non-elliptical contours. Comm. Statist. Theory Methods 29, 1885-1898.

[8] Joe, H. (1997). *Multivariate Models and Dependance Concepts.* Boca Raton London New York Washington, D.C.: Chapman&Hall.

[9] Joe, H. (2014). *Dependence Modeling with Copulas.* Boca Raton London New York : Chapman&Hall.

[10] Hladik, J. (1969). *La transformation de Laplace a plusieurs variables.* Paris: Masson.

[11] Letac, G. and Wesołowski, J. (2008). Laplace transforms which are negative powers of quadratic polynomials. T*rans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 12: 6475–6496.

[12] Marshall, A. W. and Olkin, I. (1988). Families of Multivariate Distributions. *JAMS.* 83**:** 934–841.

[13] Panda R. (1973). Some integrals associated with the generalized Lauricella functions. *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.)* 16(30): 115–122.

[14] Sklar, A.,(1959). Fonctions de répartition à n dimensions et leurs marges. *Publications de l'Institut de Statistique de l'Université de Paris* 8: 229–231.

[15] Slater, L. J. (1966). *Generalized Hypergeometric Functions.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.